

Subsidiary Legislation made under s. 24(2)(r) of Police Act 2006 as read with section 23(g)(i) of the Interpretation and General Clauses Act.

JOINT INVESTIGATION TEAMS REGULATIONS 2014**(LN. 2014/231)***Commencement* **1.12.2014**Amending
enactmentsRelevant current
provisionsCommencement
date**Transposing:**

Council Framework Decision 2002/465/JHA

EU Legislation/International Agreements involved:

ARRANGEMENT OF REGULATIONS.

Regulation

1. Title and commencement.
2. Interpretation.
3. Set up.
4. Purpose.
5. Composition.
6. General conditions.
7. Seconded members and investigative measures.
8. Investigative measures required by team set up outside Gibraltar.
9. Requests for assistance from non-participating States.
10. Sharing information.
11. Use of information obtained.
12. Members of a JIT other than competent authorities.
13. Criminal liability of officials.

SCHEDULE**MODEL AGREEMENT ON THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A JOINT
INVESTIGATION TEAM**

JOINT INVESTIGATION TEAMS REGULATIONS 2014

This version is out of date

Subsidiary
2014/231

In exercise of the powers conferred upon it by section 24(2)(r) of Police Act 2006 as read with section 23(g)(i) of the Interpretation and General Clauses Act and all other enabling powers, and in order to implement Council Framework Decision 2002/465/JHA of 13 June 2002 on joint investigation teams, the Government has made the following Regulations—

Title and commencement.

1. These Regulations may be cited as the Joint Investigation Team Regulations 2014 and come into operation on 1 December 2014.

Interpretation.

2.(1) In these Regulations—

“competent authority” means the authority competent to take decisions, act or to take decisions and act within a jurisdiction, and in the case of Gibraltar means the Commissioner;

“Framework Decision” means Council Framework Decision 2002/465/JHA of 13 June 2002 on joint investigation teams, as the same may be amended from time to time;

“joint investigation team” and “the team” mean an investigation team formed in accordance with—

(a) the Framework Decision;

(b) any other framework decision on joint investigation teams adopted under European Union law;

(c) any international agreement which has been extended to or which applies to Gibraltar;

“Member State” means a Member State of the European Union;

“seconded member” means a member of the joint investigation team who is not from the place in which the team is operating;

“third country” means a State which is not a Member State.

(2) These regulations shall be without prejudice to any other existing provisions or arrangements on the setting up of a joint investigation team.

Set up.

JOINT INVESTIGATION TEAMS REGULATIONS 2014

This version is out of date

**Subsidiary
2014/231**

3.(1) By mutual agreement with the competent authorities of at least one Member State, the Commissioner may set up a joint investigation team.

(2) A joint investigation team set up pursuant to subregulation (1) shall be for a specific purpose and for a limited period, which may be extended by mutual consent, to carry out criminal investigations in Gibraltar and one or more of the Member States setting up the team or in one or more of the Member States setting up the team.

(3) The composition of the joint investigation team shall be set out in the agreement.

(4) In setting up a joint investigation team the competent authority must-

- (a) base the agreement on the model form contained in the Schedule; and
- (b) ensure any extra information or amendments are in conformity with the appendices to the Schedule.

Purpose.

4. A joint investigation team may, in particular, be set up where-

- (a) investigations into criminal offences require difficult and demanding investigations having links with Gibraltar and one or more Member States; or
- (b) a number of Member States are concluding investigations into criminal offences in which the circumstances of the case necessitate coordinated, concerted action either in Gibraltar, a Member State involved, or in both.

Composition.

5.(1) A request for the setting up of a joint investigation team may be made by the Commissioner or by any of the Member States concerned, and shall include proposals for the composition of the team.

(2) The joint investigation team shall be set up in Gibraltar or in one of the Member States in which the investigations are expected to be carried out.

General conditions.

6. Where the Commissioner sets up a joint investigation team under regulation 3 and the team operates in whole or in part in Gibraltar the following conditions shall apply-

- (a) the leader of the team must be a representative of the Commissioner;
- (b) the leader of the team must act within the limits of his competence under Gibraltar law;
- (c) when carrying out operations in Gibraltar the team must carry out its operations in accordance with the laws of Gibraltar;
- (d) the members of the team must carry out their tasks under the leadership of the person referred to in paragraph (a), while taking into account the conditions set by their own authorities in the joint investigation team agreement; and
- (e) the Commissioner must make the necessary organisational arrangements required for the joint investigation team to operate.

Seconded members and investigative measures.

7.(1) Subject to subsection (2), a seconded member may be present when investigative measures are carried out in Gibraltar.

(2) The leader of the joint investigation team may, for a particular reason and in accordance with Gibraltar law, limit the involvement of a seconded member when an investigative measure is carried out in Gibraltar.

(3) The Commissioner and the competent authority which seconded a member may agree that a seconded member may be entrusted by the team leader with carrying out a certain investigative measures.

Investigative measures required by team set up outside Gibraltar.

8.(1) Where a joint investigation team set up in a Member State needs an investigative measure to be carried out in Gibraltar, a member seconded to that team from Gibraltar may request that the Commissioner undertake that measure.

(2) In considering a request made under subregulation (1) the Commissioner shall treat the request as though it were requested in the context of an investigation in Gibraltar.

Requests for assistance from non-participating States.

JOINT INVESTIGATION TEAMS REGULATIONS 2014

This version is out of date

**Subsidiary
2014/231**

9. Where a joint investigation team requires assistance from a Member State which is not a party to the joint investigation team agreement or from a third country, and operations are being or are to be carried out in Gibraltar, the request for assistance may be made by the Commissioner to the competent authority of the Member State concerned or to the competent authority of the third country in accordance with the relevant instruments or arrangements.

Sharing information.

10. Where a member of a joint investigation team has been seconded from Gibraltar that person may, subject to any law of Gibraltar providing otherwise, and within the limits of his competence, provide the team with information available in Gibraltar, for the purpose of the criminal investigations being conducted by the team.

Use of information obtained.

11.(1) Information lawfully obtained by a member or seconded member while part of a joint investigation team which is not otherwise available in Gibraltar may be used for the following purposes—

- (a) for the purposes for which the team has been set up;
- (b) subject to the prior consent of the Member State where the information became available, for detecting, investigating and prosecuting other criminal offences.
- (c) for preventing an immediate and serious threat to public security, and without prejudice to paragraph (b) if subsequently a criminal investigation is opened;
- (d) for other purposes to the extent that this is agreed between Gibraltar and the Member States setting up the team.

(2) The consent referred to in subregulation (1)(b) may be withheld only in cases where such use would endanger criminal investigations in the Member State concerned or in respect of which that Member State could refuse mutual assistance.

Members of a JIT other than competent authorities.

12.(1) The Minister may authorise persons other than representatives of the competent authorities of the Member States setting up the joint investigation team to take part in the activities of the team.

(2) The rights conferred upon the members or seconded members of the team by virtue of the Framework Decision shall not apply to authorised persons unless the authorisation expressly states otherwise.

Criminal liability of officials.

13. For the purposes of establishing whether any offence has been committed and in the prosecution of any such offence, a member of a joint investigation team which has been seconded to a team set up in Gibraltar shall be treated as though he were a member of the Royal Gibraltar Police.

SCHEDULE

(Regulation 3(4))

**MODEL AGREEMENT ON THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A JOINT
INVESTIGATION TEAM**

In accordance with Article 13 of the Convention on Mutual assistance in Criminal Matters between the Member States of the European Union of 29 May 2000 ⁽¹⁾ (hereinafter referred to as the Convention) and the Council Framework Decision of 13 June 2002 on joint investigation teams ⁽²⁾ (hereinafter referred to as the Framework Decision)

1. Parties to the Agreement

The following parties have concluded an agreement on the setting up of a joint investigation team, hereinafter referred to as 'JIT':

1. (Name of the first competent agency/administration of a Member State as a Party to the agreement)

and

2. (Name of the second competent agency/administration of a Member State as a party to the agreement)

3. (Name of the last competent agency/administration of a Member State party to the agreement)

The parties to the agreement may decide by common agreement to invite other Member States' agencies/administrations to become parties to this agreement. For possible arrangements with third countries, bodies competent by virtue of provisions adopted within the framework of the Treaties and international bodies involved in the activities of the JIT, see Appendix I.

2. Purpose of the JIT

The agreement shall cover the setting up of a JIT for the following purpose:

Description of the specific purpose of the JIT. This should include the circumstances of the crime(s) being

¹ OJ C 197, 12.7.2000, p. 3.

² OJ L 162, 20.6.2002, p. 1.

investigated (date, place and nature).

The parties may redefine the specific purpose of the JIT by common agreement.

3. Approach

The parties to the agreement may agree on an operational action plan (OAP) setting out the orientations according to which the purpose of the JIT is to be achieved ⁽³⁾.

4. Period covered by the agreement

In accordance with Article 13(1) of the Convention and Article 1(1) of the Framework Decision, JITs shall be set up for a limited period of time. With respect to this agreement, this JIT may operate during the following period:

from

[insert date]

to

[insert date]

The expiry date stated in this agreement may be extended by mutual consent of the parties in the form provided for in Appendix II to this model agreement.

5. Member State(s) in which the JIT will operate

The JIT will operate in the Member State(s) designated hereafter:

[Designate Member State or States in which the JIT is intended to operate]

³ In the light of the relevant national legislation and its disclosure requirements, the OAP could be included in the JIT agreement, or as an appendix to the agreement or treated as a separate confidential document. In all cases the competent authorities which sign the agreement shall be aware of the content of the OAP. The OAP must be a flexible document containing practical agreements on a common strategy and on how to achieve the purpose of the JIT set out in Article 2, including the practical arrangements not otherwise covered by this agreement.

A check list regarding the points related to the possible content of the OAP is set out in Appendix IV to this model agreement.

--

In accordance with Article 13(3)(b) of the Convention and Article 1(3)(b) of the Framework Decision, the team shall carry out its operations in accordance with the law of the Member State in which it operates at any particular time.

Should the JIT move its operational basis to another Member State, the law of this Member State shall then apply.

6. JIT Leader(s)⁽⁴⁾

The parties have designated the following person, who shall be a representative of the competent authorities in the Member State(s) where the team is operating, as the leader of the JIT and under whose leadership the members of the JIT must carry out their tasks in the Member State to which he belongs:

Member State	On secondment from (name of body)	Name	Rank and affiliation (judicial police or other competent authority)

Should any of the abovementioned persons be prevented from carrying out their duties, a replacement will be designated without delay by mutual consent of the parties in an appendix to the agreement. In urgent cases, it will be sufficient for the parties to the JIT to give notification of the replacement by letter. Such notification shall subsequently be confirmed in an appendix to the agreement.

7. Members of the JIT

In addition to the persons referred to in Article 6, the following persons ⁽⁵⁾ shall be members of the JIT:

Member State	On secondment from (name of body)	Name/identification number(*)	Rank and affiliation (judicial,	Role

⁴ Article 1(3)(a) of the Framework Decision shall apply, i.e. the leader of the team shall be a representative of the competent authority participating in criminal investigations from the Member State in which the team operates.

⁵ The JIT may include representatives of judicial, police or other competent authorities with investigative functions. Under this heading, it may also include members of Eurojust when they operate as competent national authorities as referred to in Article 9f of the Council Decision 2002/187/JHA of 28 February 2002 setting up Eurojust with a view to reinforcing the fight against serious crime. These are the national members of Eurojust, their deputies and assistants — as well as other persons who, in line with their national legislation, are also members of the national office, i.e. seconded national experts. The police authorities may comprise members of the Europol national units of the Member States. These national units are based in the Member States and are national police authorities. Also the liaison officers of the Member States at Europol retain their capacity to act as national police authorities.

			police or other competent authority)	
<p>* If there are good grounds for protecting the identity of one or more members of the JIT, such as, in cases of covert investigations or in cases of terrorism that require maximum security, identification numbers must be assigned to those persons, as far as it is compatible with the national legislation of the Member State, party to the agreement. The numbers assigned must be included in a confidential document. Should it not be possible to assign an identification number, it may be agreed that the identity of the members is set out in a confidential document, which is attached to this agreement and which is made available to all parties thereto.</p>				

Should any of the above-mentioned persons be prevented from carrying out their duties, a replacement will be designated without delay in an appendix to this agreement or by a written notification sent by the competent leader of the JIT.

8. Participants in the JIT

The provisions on participants ⁽⁶⁾ in the JIT are dealt with in the relevant appendix to this agreement.

9. Evidence

The parties entrust the leader or a member (s) of the JIT with the task of giving advice on the obtaining of evidence. His or her role includes providing guidance to members of the JIT on aspects and procedures to be taken into account in the taking of evidence. The person(s) who carry out this function should be indicated here. In the OAP the parties may inform each other about giving testimony by members of the JIT.

10. General Conditions of the Agreement

In general, the conditions laid down in Article 13 of the Convention and the Framework Decision shall apply as implemented by each Member State in which the JIT operates.

11. Amendments to the agreement

Amendments to this agreement, including but not limited to the following:

- (a) the incorporation of new members of the JIT;
- (b) changes to the purpose provided for in Article 2 of this agreement;
- (c) additions or changes to the current articles.

⁶ Participants in the JIT are designated by third countries, Eurojust, Europol, the Commission (OLAF), bodies competent by virtue of provisions adopted within the framework of the Treaties and international organisations which participate in the activities of the JIT, as parties to the agreement provided for in Appendix I to this model Agreement.

shall take the form provided for in Appendix III to this model agreement, shall be signed by the parties and shall be attached to the original version.

12. Internal evaluation

Every six months at least, the JIT leaders shall evaluate the progress achieved as regards the general purpose of the JIT, while determining and addressing any problems thus identified.

After the operation of the JIT ends, the parties may, where appropriate, arrange a meeting to evaluate the performance of the JIT.

The JIT may draw up a report on the operation, which may show how the operational action plan was implemented and which results were achieved.

13. Specific arrangements of the agreement (in order to avoid making the agreement too cumbersome some or all points indicated under 13.1-13.11 may be located in OAP).

The following special arrangements may apply to this agreement (note that a number of these aspects are also regulated in the Convention and the Framework Decision):

(To be inserted, if applicable. The following sub-chapters are intended to highlight possible areas that need to be specifically described).

- 13.1. Terms under which seconded members of the JIT may be excluded when investigative measures are taken.
- 13.2. Specific conditions under which seconded members may carry out investigations within the MS of operation.
- 13.3. Specific conditions under which a seconded member of a JIT may request his/her own national authorities to take measures which are requested by the team without submitting a letter of request.
- 13.4. Conditions under which seconded members may share information derived from seconding authorities.
- 13.5. Provisions concerning the media, in particular the need for consultation prior to the presentation of press releases and official information briefings.
- 13.6. Provisions concerning the confidentiality of this agreement.
- 13.7. The language to be used for communication must be defined.

13.8. Specific provisions on expenditure:

13.8.1. Provisions on insurance for seconded members of the JIT;

13.8.2. Provisions concerning expenditure on translation/interpreting/telephone tapping, etc.

13.8.3. Provisions on the translation of, for example, the documents obtained into the language of other members of the JIT, as well as into the official language of communication (if different), since this can entail considerable (unnecessary) expenditure;

13.8.4. Provisions concerning expenses or income arising from seized assets.

13.9. Conditions under which assistance sought under the Convention and other arrangements may be given.

13.10. Specific data protection rules.

13.10.bis Confidentiality and use of information already existing and/or obtained during the operation of the JIT.

13.11. Conditions under which seconded members may carry/use weapons.

Done at (place of signature), (date)

(Signatures of all parties)

Appendix I

**TO THE MODEL AGREEMENT ON THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A
JOINT INVESTIGATION TEAM**

Participants in a JIT

Arrangement with Europol/Eurojust/the Commission (OLAF), bodies competent by virtue of provisions adopted within the framework of the Treaties, other international bodies or third countries

1. Parties to the arrangement

Name of the first party to the agreement that is not a Member State

Name of the last party to the agreement that is not a Member State (if there is more than

one)

and

Name of the first competent agency/administration of a Member State as a party to the agreement

and

Name of the second competent agency/administration of a Member State as a party to the agreement

(... and ...)

have agreed that the following persons from (names of the parties to the agreement that are not Member States) will participate in the joint investigation team, established by agreement on ... (date and place of the agreement to which this appendix is attached).

2. Participants in the JIT

The following persons will participate in the JIT:

State/ Organisation	On secondment from (agency /body)	Name	Rank and affiliation	Role

The Member State ... has decided that its national members of Eurojust will participate in the joint investigation team as a competent national authority ⁽⁷⁾.

Should any of the above-mentioned persons be prevented from carrying out their duties, a replacement will be designated in an appendix to this agreement. In urgent cases, it will be sufficient for the party to give notification of the replacement by letter. Such notification shall subsequently be confirmed in an appendix to the agreement.

3. Specific arrangements

⁽⁷⁾ Delete this paragraph if not applicable.

The participation of the above-mentioned persons will be subject to the following conditions and only for the following purposes:

- 3.1. First party to the agreement that is not a Member State
 - 3.1.1. Purpose of participation
 - 3.1.2. Rights conferred (if any)
 - 3.1.3. Provisions concerning costs
 - 3.1.4. Specific provisions concerning or enabling achievement of the purpose of participation
 - 3.1.5. Other specific provisions or conditions ⁽⁸⁾
 - 3.1.6. Specific data protection rules
- 3.2. *Second party to the agreement that is not a Member State (if applicable)*
 - 3.2.1. ...

4. Specific arrangements related to the participation of Europol ⁽⁹⁾

- 4.1. Principles of participation
 - 4.1.1. Europol staff participating in the JIT shall assist the members of the team in accordance with the Europol Decision and in accordance with the national law of the Member State where the team operates.
 - 4.1.2. The Europol staff participating in the JIT shall work under the guidance of the leader(s) of the team as identified in point [...] of the Agreement and shall provide any assistance necessary to achieve the objectives and purpose of the JIT, as identified by the leader(s) of the team.
 - 4.1.3. Europol staff has the right not to perform tasks which they consider to be in breach of their obligations under the Europol Decision. In that case, the Europol staff member shall inform the Director or his representative thereof. Europol shall consult with the leader(s) of the team with a view to finding a mutually satisfactory solution.

⁽⁸⁾ For example, references to basic or applicable legal frameworks, etc.

⁽⁹⁾ To be included only where Europol is a participant to the JIT. These rules were adopted by the Europol Management Board on 9 July 2009 (file No 3710-426r6) and a model JIT arrangement was adopted by the Europol Management Board on 18 November 2009 (file No 2610-74r2), as required under Article 6(2) of the Europol Decision. For updated information please refer to the Europol's website: <http://www.europol.europa.eu>

4.1.4. Europol staff participating in the JIT shall not be involved in the taking of any coercive measures. However, participating Europol staff can, under the guidance of the leader(s) of the team, be present during operational activities of the JIT, in order to render on-the-spot advice and assistance to the members of the team who execute coercive measures, provided that no legal constraints exist at national level where the team operates.

4.1.5. Article 11(a) of the Protocol on the Privileges and Immunities of the European Union shall not apply to Europol staff participating in the JIT ⁽¹⁰⁾.

4.1.6. During the operations of the JIT, Europol staff shall, with respect to offences committed against or by them, be subject to the national law of the Member State of operation applicable to persons with comparable functions.

4.2. *Type of assistance*

4.2.1. Participating Europol staff will provide full range of Europol's support services, in accordance with the Europol Council Decision as far as required or requested. Those will include, inter alia, operational and strategic analytical support, in particular through the analysis work file(s) (AWF) (name(s) of the work file(s) and related projects).

Where required and when requested by the leader(s) of the team, Europol may support the JIT by deployment of a Europol 'mobile office' or of other technical equipment, if available and in compliance with Europol's security standards.

4.2.2. Europol staff participating in the JIT may assist in all activities, in particular by providing a communication platform, strategic, technical and forensic support and tactical and operational expertise and advice to the members of the JIT, as required by the leader(s) of the team.

4.2.3. Europol shall, within the boundaries of its legal framework facilitate the secure exchange of information between the parties of the JIT and non-participating States and/or EU bodies and international organisations, if requested by the leader(s) of the team.

4.3. *Access to Europol information processing systems*

4.3.1. Europol staff participating in the JIT shall have access to Europol's information processing systems, referred to in Article 10 of the Europol Decision. This access shall be in accordance with the provisions of the Europol Decision and in line with the applicable security and data protection standards for the duration of their membership of the JIT.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Protocol on the Privileges and Immunities of the European Union (consolidated version) (OJ C 115, 9.5.2008, p. 266).

4.3.2. Europol staff may liaise directly with members of the JIT and provide members and seconded members of the JIT, in accordance with the Europol Decision, with information from any of the components of the information processing systems referred to in Article 10 of the Europol Decision. The conditions and restrictions on the use of this information must be respected.

4.3.3. Information obtained by a Europol staff member while part of the JIT may be, with the consent and under the responsibility of the Member State which provided the information, included in any of the components of the information processing systems referred to in Article 10 of the Europol Decision, under the conditions laid down therein.

4.4. *Costs and equipment*

4.4.1. The Member State in which investigative measures are taking place is responsible for providing the technical equipment (office accommodation, telecommunication etc.) necessary for the accomplishment of the tasks and shall pay the costs incurred. The respective Member State shall also provide office communication and other technical equipment necessary for the (encrypted) exchange of data. The costs are to be paid by that Member State.

4.4.2. Europol shall cover the costs incurred as a result of the participation of Europol staff in the JIT, in particular concerning insurance and salaries for staff as well as accommodation and travel costs. Europol shall also bear the costs for the special equipment mentioned in points 4.1 and 4.2 above.

Date/signatures ⁽¹¹⁾

Appendix II

TO THE MODEL AGREEMENT ON THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A JOINT INVESTIGATION TEAM

Agreement to extend a joint investigation team

In accordance with Article 13(1) of the Convention on Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters between the Member States of the European Union of 29 May 2000 ⁽¹²⁾ and Article 1(1) of the Council Framework Decision of 13 June 2002 on joint investigation teams ⁽¹³⁾:

⁽¹¹⁾ Signatures of the parties to this arrangement.

¹² OJ C 197, 12.7.2000, p. 3.

¹³ OJ L 162, 20.6.2002, p. 1.

JOINT INVESTIGATION TEAMS REGULATIONS 2014

This version is out of date

**Subsidiary
2014/231**

The parties have agreed to extend the joint investigation team (hereinafter 'JIT') set up by agreement of [insert date] done at [insert place of signature], a copy of which is attached hereto.

The parties consider that the JIT should be extended beyond the period for which it was set up [insert date on which period ends] since its purpose as established in Article [insert article on purpose of JIT here] has not yet been achieved.

The circumstances requiring the JIT to be extended have been carefully examined by all the parties. The extension of the JIT is considered essential to the achievement of the purpose for which the JIT was set up.

The JIT will therefore remain in operation until [insert date on which new period ends]. The above period may be extended further by the parties by mutual agreement.

Date/Signature

Appendix III

TO THE MODEL AGREEMENT ON THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A JOINT INVESTIGATION TEAM

Suggested wording for changes other than the period for which a JIT is set up

In accordance with Article 13(1) of the Convention on Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters between the Member States of the European Union of 29 May 2000 ⁽¹⁴⁾ and Article 1(1) of the Council Framework Decision of 13 June 2002 on joint investigation teams ⁽¹⁵⁾, under which the present joint investigation team was set up:

The parties have agreed to amend the written agreement setting up a joint investigation team (hereinafter 'JIT') of [insert date], done at [insert place], a copy of which is attached hereto.

The signatories have agreed that the above agreement should be amended as follows:

1. (Amendment ...)
2. (Amendment ...)

¹⁴ OJ C 197, 12.7.2000, p. 3.

¹⁵ OJ L 162, 20.6.2002, p. 1.

The circumstances requiring the JIT agreement to be amended have been carefully examined by all the parties. The amendment(s) to the JIT agreement is/are deemed essential to achieve the purpose for which the JIT was set up.

Date/Signature

Appendix IV

Proposal for a check list for the Operational Action Plan (OAP) ⁽¹⁶⁾

The following points may be addressed by the parties:

Introduction — describe the purpose of the JIT. The text used under ‘purpose of the JIT’ in the JIT agreement would normally be sufficient

Operational procedure — identify the location(s) where the JIT is likely to operate, describe how the JIT will be managed and the investigation conducted, taking note of national legislation, guidelines and procedure

Role of members and/or participants of the JIT — identify and describe the different operational roles and tasks of each member and/or participant in the JIT (EU MS, Europol, Eurojust, OLAF) if not yet described in the JIT agreement

Special or specific measures to be implemented — identify and describe investigative activity that requires special measures or procedure e.g. child suspects, victims, dangerous/hostile working environment

Operations and investigative powers — identify and describe special operations/investigative techniques that will be employed during the investigation e.g. intrusive surveillance, informants, undercover officers, communication intercepts etc. and related legislation/procedure

Information exchange and communication — describe how information will be exchanged and the procedures for communication and identify competent partner or agency e.g. Europol, Eurojust, OLAF, SECI, Interpol; it may be necessary to agree upon a language of communication; consider the use of Europol’s secure means of communication (SIENA) and the Analytical Work Files (AWFs) as a means for a secure environment to store sensitive information

Intelligence assessment and tasking — describe the process of intelligence collection and development and any related guidelines

⁽¹⁶⁾ The content of the OAP is a living document reflecting the practical issues of a JIT. The OAP should be coherent with section 13 ‘Specific arrangements’ of the JIT Agreement. Some elements of section 13 may be located in the OAP.

Financial investigations — consider the need for following the ‘money trail’

Evidence gathering — identify according to the jurisdiction(s) any legislation, guidelines, procedure etc. which must be taken into account including responsible agency/individual, requirement to translate evidence

Prosecution — identify the competent authority in each country/jurisdiction and any guidelines related to decisions to prosecute including the role of Eurojust in this respect

Testimony — identify the likelihood and procedures in place for each jurisdiction in respect of the requirement for JIT members to give evidence

Disclosure — describe the rules and procedures for all jurisdictions where the JIT is likely to operate

Operational and strategic meetings — identify and describe the meetings that will take place, their frequency and participants

Administration and logistics — any issues concerning administration, equipment (such as office accommodation, vehicles, IT equipment or any other technical equipment), resources, personnel, media, confidentiality issues, etc. should be dealt with here:

— Translation

— Office accommodation

— Vehicles

— Other technical equipment